





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/412,727	10/05/1999	ERIC AUBRY	DEN226	DEN226 1032	
7590 02/17/2004			EXAMINER		
THOMAS S BAKER JR			LIANG, REGINA		
1371 WEST 3RD AVENUE COLUMBUS, OH 43212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2674	9	
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

may to the P						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
' Advisory Action	09/412,727	AUBRY, ERIC				
.v	Examiner	Art Unit				
The SHALLING DATE of this communication con-	Regina Liang	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (ce later than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		A				
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: 4 and 5.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.						
		Regina Liang Primary Examiner				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner disagrees with applicant's argument., the combination of the references teaches the claimed limitation.